

TEMPORAL MASKING FOR BIT-RATE REDUCTION IN AUDIO CODEC BASED ON FREQUENCY DOMAIN LINEAR PREDICTION

Sriram Ganapathy^{1,2}, Petr Motlicek¹, Hynek Hermansky^{1,2}, Harinath Garudadri³

¹IDIAP Research Institute, Martigny, Switzerland

²École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland

³Qualcomm Inc., San Diego, CA, USA

{ganapathy,motlicek,hynek}@idiap.ch, {hgarudad}@qualcomm.com

ABSTRACT

Audio coding based on Frequency Domain Linear Prediction (FDLP) uses auto-regressive model to approximate Hilbert envelopes in frequency sub-bands for relatively long temporal segments. Although the basic technique achieves good quality of the reconstructed signal, there is a need for improving the coding efficiency. In this paper, we present a novel method for the application of temporal masking to reduce the bit-rate in a FDLP based codec. Temporal masking refers to the hearing phenomenon, where the exposure to a sound reduces response to following sounds for a certain period of time (up to 200 ms). In the proposed version of the codec, a first order forward masking model of the human ear is implemented and informal listening experiments using additive white noise are performed to obtain the exact noise masking thresholds. Subsequently, this masking model is employed in encoding the sub-band FDLP carrier signal. Application of the temporal masking in the FDLP codec results in a bit-rate reduction of about 10% without degrading the quality. Performance evaluation is done with Perceptual Evaluation of Audio Quality (PEAQ) scores and with subjective listening tests.

Index Terms— Audio coding, Psychoacoustic modelling, Temporal masking, Frequency Domain Linear Prediction (FDLP)

1. INTRODUCTION

A new audio coding technique based on modeling the spectral dynamics has been proposed in [1], [2]. The input audio signal is first decomposed into frequency sub-bands using a Quadrature Mirror Filter (QMF) bank. Subsequently, each sub-band signal is transformed using Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) and linear prediction is performed in the DCT domain. This results in an approximation to the Hilbert envelope of that sub band signal. The technique is referred to as Frequency Domain Linear Prediction (FDLP) [3]. The basic FDLP codec achieves acceptable quality, but at the expense of higher bit rate, necessary for encoding the sub-band FDLP carrier (residual) signal [2].

The auditory masking properties of the human ear provide an efficient solution for quantization of a signal in such a way that the

This work was partially supported by grants from ICSI Berkeley, USA; the Swiss National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) on “Interactive Multi-modal Information Management (IM)2”; managed by the IDIAP Research Institute on behalf of the Swiss Federal Authorities, and by the European Commission 6th Framework DIRAC Integrated Project. The authors also thank Vijay Ullal for his active involvement in the subjective listening tests.

audible distortion is minimized. In particular, temporal masking is a property of the human ear, where the sounds appearing within a temporal interval of about 200 ms after a signal component get masked. A simple first order model of forward masking was proposed in [4].

The long term processing (1000 ms) in the FDLP codec allows for a straightforward exploitation of the temporal masking, while its implementation in more conventional short-term spectra based codecs has been so far quite limited, one notable exemption being the recently proposed wavelet-based codec [5].

In this paper, the linear forward masking model proposed in [4] is applied to the QMF sub-band signal. Since the real conditions differ from the assumptions made in this linear model, listening experiments are conducted to determine the correction factors. This is done by adding white noise of decreasing power till the noise becomes just inaudible. The thresholds obtained for white noise, also indicate the maximum permissible power level for the quantization noise. These masking thresholds are then utilized in quantizing the sub-band FDLP carrier signals.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, a mathematical description of the temporal masking property is given along with the determination of the masking thresholds using the white noise experiments. Section 3 explains the application of temporal masking for bit-rate reduction in the FDLP codec. The results of the objective and subjective evaluations are reported in Section 4.

2. TEMPORAL MASKING IN HUMAN AUDITORY SYSTEM

Temporal masking can be explained as a change in the time course of recovery from masking or as a change in growth of masking at each signal delay. The amount of forward masking is determined by the interaction of a number of factors including masker level, the temporal separation of the masker and the signal, frequency of the masker and the signal and duration of the masker and the signal [4]. A simple first order mathematical model, which provides a sufficient approximation for the amount of temporal masking, is given in Equation 1:

$$M[n] = a(b - \log_{10} \Delta t)(X[n] - c), \quad (1)$$

where M is the temporal mask in dB Sound Pressure Level (SPL), X is the signal dB SPL level, n is the sample index, Δt is the time delay in ms, a , b and c are the constants. At any sample point, multiple mask estimates arising from the several previous samples are present and the max of it is chosen as the mask in dB SPL at that point. The optimal values of these parameters, as defined in [5], are as follows:

$$a = k_2 f^2 + k_1 f + k_0, \quad (2)$$

where f is the center frequency of the sub-band in kHz, k_0 , k_1 and k_2 are constants. The constant b denotes the duration of the temporal masking and may be chosen as $\log_{10} 200$. The parameter c is the Absolute Threshold of Hearing (ATH) in quiet, defined as:

$$c = 3.64f^{-0.8} - 6.5e^{-0.6(f-3.3)^2} + 0.001f^4. \quad (3)$$

2.1. An alternative SPL definition

A short-term SPL definition is needed to estimate the masking threshold at each sample index. For this purpose, the signal is divided into 10 ms overlapping frames with frame shifts of 1 sample. The estimated short term power in SPL is assigned to the middle sample of the frame:

$$X[n] = 10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=n-\frac{L}{2}}^{n+\frac{L}{2}} x^2[i]}{L} \right], \quad (4)$$

where X is the signal in dB SPL, x denotes the original time domain signal and L denotes the frame length (10 ms).

2.2. White noise experiments for determining the thresholds from temporal masking

The linear masking model is based on a set of assumptions like sinusoidal nature of the masker and signal, minimum duration of the masker (300 ms), minimum duration of the signal (20 ms) etc [4]. Such assumptions do not hold in a practical case, where we need to determine the masking thresholds for every sample point. Hence, the actual masking thresholds are much below the thresholds from the linear masking model. Therefore, we perform listening experiments using additive white noise to obtain the correction factors on the linear model.

The main goal of these experiments is to determine the maximum imperceptible SPL of white Gaussian noise that can be added to the audio signal. The power level of white noise is chosen as a sum of the linear masking model and a correction factor to be reduced from the linear model. Also, the correction factor is made dependent on the ATH in that frequency band. For different levels of signal SPL, white noise of decreasing powers (in steps of 5 dB SPL) is added until the noise becomes just imperceptible. The temporal masking thresholds obtained from these experiments can be mathematically written down as:

$$\begin{aligned} T[n] &= L_m[n] - (35 - c), \quad \text{if } L_m[n] \geq (35 - c) \\ &= L_m[n] - (25 - c), \quad \text{if } (25 - c) \leq L_m[n] \leq (35 - c) \\ &= L_m[n] - (15 - c), \quad \text{if } (15 - c) \leq L_m[n] \leq (25 - c) \\ &= c, \quad \text{if } L_m[n] \leq (15 - c) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where L_m is maximum of the temporal mask M computed from its previous samples. An example of a 1000 ms duration of a sub-band signal in the dB SPL, its linear masking model obtained from Equation 1 and the white masking thresholds obtained using Equation 5 is shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Example of 1000 ms sub-band signal in dB SPL, the linear masking model and white noise masking thresholds.

3. APPLICATION OF THE TEMPORAL MASK FOR ENCODING THE SUB-BAND FDLP CARRIERS

The white noise temporal masking thresholds also denote the maximum permissible quantization noise in that sub-band. The starting point is the base-line FDLP codec without temporal masking [2]. The number of bits required for representing the sub-band FDLP carrier is reduced in accordance with the temporal masking thresholds. Since the sub-band signal is the product of its FDLP envelope and carrier, the masking thresholds for the carrier signal are obtained by subtracting the dB SPL of the envelope from that of the sub-band signal.

The first step is to estimate the quantization noise present in the base-line version; if the mean of the quantization noise (in 200 ms sub-band signal) is above the masking threshold, no bit-rate reduction is applied. If the temporal mask mean is above the noise mean, then the amount of bits needed to encode that sub-band carrier signal is reduced in such a way that the noise level becomes similar to the masking threshold.

Since the information regarding the number of quantization bits is to be transmitted to the receiver, the bit-rate reduction is done in a discretized manner. In the proposed version of the codec, the bit-rate reduction is done in 8 different levels (in which the first level corresponds to no bit-rate reduction). The number of bits to be reduced is made dependent on the difference in dB SPL between the quantization noise and the mask threshold. When the difference is higher, bit-rate reduction is severe. Also, level of bit-rate reduction for each sub-band FDLP carrier is sent as side information to the receiver (around 0.5 kbps).

We show two examples of the application of temporal masking to reduce the bits needed for representing the sub-band FDLP carrier signal. For low-energy sub-band signals, the masking threshold, as given by Equation 5, is the ATH. Thus, for these regions of the signal, the number of bits spent on the FDLP carrier signal can be significantly reduced. This is shown in Fig. 2, where we plot a region of the 200 ms sub-band signal of low energy, the temporal mask which is at the ATH for that frequency band, quantization noise in the sub-band with and without temporal masking. The case for signal regions with high energy is shown in Fig. 3.




Fig. 2. Application of temporal masking to reduce the bits for 200ms region of a low energy sub-band signal. The figure shows a portion of sub-band signal, temporal masking threshold for that region, quantization noise for the base-line codec and for the codec with temporal masking.




Fig. 3. Application of temporal masking to reduce the bits for 200ms region of a high energy sub-band signal. The figure shows the temporal masking threshold for a high-energy region of sub-band signal, quantization noise for the base-line codec and for the codec with temporal masking.

4. RESULTS

The subjective and objective evaluations of the proposed audio codec are performed using challenging audio signals (sampled at 48 kHz) present in the framework for exploration of speech and audio coding [6]. It is comprised of speech, music and speech over music recordings. The music samples contain a wide variety of challenging audio samples ranging from tonal signals to highly transient signals.

Objective evaluations are performed on 27 audio samples and the results show the bit-rate and quality advantage of using temporal masking in FDLF codec as compared with the base-line version. Subjective listening tests compare the final version of the FDLF codec (utilizing temporal masking) with the state of the art codecs on 8 audio samples from this database.

ODG Scores	Quality
0	imperceptible
-1	perceptible but not annoying
-2	slightly annoying
-3	annoying
-4	very annoying

Table 1. PEAQ scores and their meanings.

Base-line codec (73 kbps)	With Temporal Masking (66 kbps)
-0.99	-1.11

Table 2. Average PEAQ scores with and without masking.

4.1. Objective Evaluations

The objective measure employed is the Perceptual Evaluation of Audio Quality (PEAQ) distortion measure [7]. In general, the perceptual degradation of the test signal with respect to the reference signal is measured, based on the ITU-R BS.1387 (PEAQ) standard. The output combines a number of model output variables (MOV's) into a single measure, the Objective Difference Grade (ODG) score, which is an impairment scale with meanings shown in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the comparison of the base-line codec at full bit rate with the one exploiting the temporal masking (thereby reducing the bit-rates by 7 kbps). The comparison is done in terms of the average PEAQ scores for the 27 files. The objective quality score (average PEAQ scores) is slightly decreased by the application of temporal masking (around 0.1), but the bit-rate reduction is about 10 %.

The application of the temporal masking to the base-line FDLF codec results in a variable bit rate codec. In order to highlight the quality advantage provided by temporal masking, the following experiment is performed: The base-line codec is operated at lower bit-rates (7 kbps below), but without applying the temporal masking. This is done by uniformly reducing the bits for DFT magnitude and phase of the sub-band FDLF carriers so that the final bit-rate is 66 kbps. This is compared with the variable bit-rate codec exploiting temporal masking. The comparison of PEAQ scores for these two codecs is shown in Figure 4. This figure clearly illustrates that for almost all the files, the application of temporal masking for bit-rate reduction results in a better quality (PEAQ scores) at the same bit-rates.

4.2. Subjective Evaluations

MUSHRA (Multiple Stimuli with Hidden Reference and Anchor) is a methodology for subjective evaluation of audio quality. It is defined by ITU-R recommendation BS.1534 [8]. We perform the MUSHRA tests on 8 audio samples from the database with 22 listeners. The subjective quality of following 3 codecs are compared:

1. FDLF codec with temporal masking at ~ 66 kbps.
2. LAME MP3 (MPEG 1, layer 3) [9] at 64 kbps.
3. High Efficiency Advanced Audio Coding (AAC+v1) with Spectral Band Replication (SBR) [10], [11] at ~ 64 kbps.

The results of the MUSHRA tests are shown in Figure 5. It is found that the proposed version of the codec, with temporal masking, is competitive with the state of the art codecs at similar bit-rates.




Fig. 4. Comparison of PEAQ scores for codecs at the same bit-rate for the files in the data-base; a fixed bit-rate FDLP codec versus variable bit-rate FDLP codec utilizing temporal masking.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In order to improve the compression efficiency of audio codecs based on spectral dynamics, a method to advantageously use temporal masking phenomenon of the human ear has been proposed. The application of the temporal masking for the FDLP codec has reduced the bit-rates by about 10 %. Objective evaluations justify the importance of this novel technique of bit-rate reduction. Also, the version of the codec exploiting temporal masking gives subjective results competitive to the state of the art codecs at similar bit-rates. It is worth noting that this performance is achieved without utilizing standard modules like entropy coding and simultaneous masking. The inclusion of these techniques form part of the future work.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Petr Motlicek , Hynek Hermansky , Sriram Ganapathy and Harinath Garudadri, "Non-Uniform Speech/Audio Coding Exploiting Predictability of Temporal Evolution of Spectral Envelopes", in *Proceedings of TSD* , LNCS/LNAI series, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 350-357, September 2007.
- [2] Petr Motlicek, Sriram Ganapathy, Hynek Hermansky, and Harinath Garudadri, "Scalable Wide-band Audio Codec based on Frequency Domain Linear Prediction", *Tech. Rep., IDIAP*, RR 07-16, 2007.
- [3] Marios Athineos and Dan Ellis, "Frequency-domain linear prediction for temporal features", *Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop IEEE ASRU* , pp. 261-266, December 2003.
- [4] Walt Jesteadt, Sid P. Bacon, and James R. Lehman, " Forward Masking as a function of frequency, masker level, and signal delay", *Journal of Acoustical Society of America*, Vol. 71(4), pp. 950-962, April 1982.
- [5] Ferdman Sinaga, Teddy Surya Gunawan and Eliathamby Ambikairajah, " Wavelet Packet Based Audio Coding Using Temporal Masking," *IEEE conference on Information, Communications and Signal Processing 2003*, pp. 1380-1383, Singapore, December 2003.
- [6] ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11, "Framework for Exploration of




Fig. 5. MUSHRA results for 8 audio files with 22 listeners using three coded versions (FDLP, AAC+ and LAME MP3), hidden reference (original) and two anchors (7 kHz low-pass filtered and 3.5 kHz low-pass filtered).

Speech and Audio Coding", *MPEG2007/N9254*, July 2007, Lausanne, CH.

- [7] ITU-R Recommendation BS.1387, "Method for objective psychoacoustic model based on PEAQ to perceptual audio measurements of perceived audio quality", December 1998.
- [8] ITU-R Recommendation BS.1534: "Method for the subjective assessment of intermediate audio quality", June 2001.
- [9] LAME MP3 codec: <http://lame.sourceforge.net>
- [10] 3GPP TS 26.401: Enhanced aacPlus general audio codec; General Description.
- [11] Martin Dietz, Lars Liljeryd, Kristofer Kjorling and Oliver Kunz, "Spectral Band Replication, a novel approach in audio coding", *Audio Engineering Society*, 112th Convention, Munich, Germany, May 2002.