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Abstract

In this paper we give a convex optimization approach for scene understanding. Since segmentation, object recognition and scene labeling strongly benefit from each other we propose to solve these tasks within a single convex optimization problem. In contrast to previous approaches we do not rely on pre-processing techniques such as object detectors or superpixels. The central idea is to integrate a hierarchical label prior and a set of convex constraints into the segmentation approach, which combine the three tasks by introducing high-level scene information. Instead of learning label co-occurrences from limited benchmark training data, the hierarchical prior comes naturally with the way humans see their surroundings.

1. Introduction

1.1. A Joint Approach to Scene Understanding

Scene understanding is the combination of segmentation, object recognition and scene classification. These tasks are highly interdependent. On the one hand, the most important cues for scene classification are the objects contained in the scene. On the other hand, results from scene classification help to determine the objects occurring within the scene, e.g. if we know that we are looking at a natural scene grass and sky would be likely but armchairs would be surprising. Finally, segmentation results can be improved by means of object recognition results, since typical color and shape models can be associated with the objects. Instead of solving all tasks separately or sequentially our objective is to take a holistic approach to scene understanding by solving all tasks simultaneously within a single convex optimization problem – similar to the way humans reason about the world around them. In this way, the tasks can directly influence each other. Previous joint approaches usually rely on either difficult optimization schemes or on pre-processing tasks such as superpixels or object detectors, which introduce errors and runtime limitations into the scene understanding task.

1.2. Related Work

The inspiration to this work predominantly draws from two lines of research, namely research on label configuration priors and research on convex relaxation techniques.

Hierarchical Semantic Prior Knowledge In human vision and understanding of the world, especially hierarchies of objects are a common concept. They can be found on a larger scene level characterizing which objects appear in a specific context, e.g. ‘cars’ and ‘road signs’ appear in ‘street
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Scene Classification  Scene classification denotes the task of categorizing an image with respect to the type of scene shown. Most approaches build on the combination of image feature descriptors, such as color histograms, texture or SIFT features. Based on the descriptor output learning based approaches such as Support Vector Machines or statistical approaches are applied to classify the scene based on training data [2, 11, 12]. Yet, these approaches rarely solve the segmentation, object recognition and scene classification tasks jointly.

Joint Approaches  Joint approaches for segmentation, recognition and scene classification were given recently in [8] and [18]. Both approaches rely on the result of sophisticated object detectors in order to infer solutions for the joint task. Thus, the quality of the results always depends on the quality of the object detectors. In addition, the inference problem solved in [8] is rather complex and does not involve the actual scene classification task.

In this paper we solve the joint task based on convex optimization techniques without requiring any preprocessing such as object detection or superpixel computation. In this way, the quality of the solutions as well as the runtime directly depend on the proposed algorithm instead of prior processing steps.

Convex Optimization  To tackle the highly complex task of joint recognition and scene classification we will rely on powerful techniques from convex optimization. In general, this scene understanding task can be formulated as a multi-label problem. Two popular paradigms exist for solving such energy optimization problems: discrete Markov Random Field (MRF) based approaches and continuous optimization approaches. In [13] Nieuwenhuis et al. showed that for multi-label problems continuous approaches can be parallelized and implemented more efficiently. In addition, they do not suffer from grid bias and - in case of a convex relaxation - are independent of the initialization. There are a number of recent advances on convex relaxation techniques for spatially continuous multi-label optimization. These include relaxations for the continuous Potts model [3, 10, 20], for the non-local continuous Potts model [17], for MDL priors [19], and for vector-valued labeling problems [6, 16]. In this paper we will give a convex relaxation of the scene understanding task.

1.3. Contribution  Our main contributions are the following:

- Instead of solving a sequence of optimization problems we introduce the hierarchical segmentation prior within a single convex optimization problem.

- The performance of our algorithm neither depends on the label subset cost nor on the cardinality of the label subsets and can therefore handle an arbitrary number of labels.

- Our formulation is more general than the class of hierarchical priors in [5] in the sense that we are able to assign arbitrary costs for label configurations arising
from different categories. We also introduce a variant of the hierarchical prior where we even assign infinite costs to certain label configurations.

2. Convex Multi-label Segmentation

Given a discrete label space \( L = \{1, \ldots, n\} \) with \( n \geq 1 \), the multi-labeling problem can be stated as a minimal partition problem. The image domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) is to be segmented into \( n \) pairwise disjoint regions \( \Omega_i \) which are encoded by the label indicator function \( u \in BV(\Omega, \{0, 1\})^n \)

\[
u_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

(1)

Here \( BV \) denotes the space of functions with bounded total variation, which allows for discontinuities [1]. To ensure that each pixel is assigned to exactly one region, the simplex constraint is imposed on \( u \):

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i(x) = 1 \quad \forall x \in \Omega
\]

(2)

To find a solution to the minimal partition problem we minimize the following energy:

\[
E(u) = E_D(u) + E_S(u) + E_H(u).
\]

(3)

The data term

\[
E_D(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \nu_i(x) g_i(x) dx.
\]

(4)

where \( g_i(x) \) is the local cost of assigning label \( i \) to pixel \( x \), measures how well the segmentation complies with a given appearance model for each label. The regularizer:

\[
E_S(u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} |Du_i(x)|
\]

(5)

ensures spatial coherence of the label assignment, and is chosen as the Potts model which penalizes the boundary lengths. The term \( E_H(u) \) is the hierarchical scene understanding energy which will be the focus of this paper.

Label Occurrence Functions In order to devise the hierarchical prior it is necessary to model the occurrences of specific labels in the image. Let \( \mathcal{U} = \{u \in BV(\Omega, \{0, 1\})^n | \sum_i \nu_i(x) = 1 \ \forall x \in \Omega\} \) denote the set of all possible segmentations over the image domain \( \Omega \). Then the function \( l : \mathcal{U} \to \{0, 1\}^n \) indicates for each label \( i \) whether it occurs in a given segmentation:

\[
l_i(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists x \in \Omega : \ u_i(x) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

(6)

This can also be written as [19]

\[
l_i(u) = \max_{x \in \Omega} u_i(x) \quad \forall i \in L
\]

(7)

3. The Hierarchical Prior

Hierarchical priors penalize the co-occurrence of labels from different scene contexts, e.g. a ‘cow’ (‘outdoor’ context) and a ‘fridge’ (‘indoor’ context). To this end, the set of object labels is organized in a tree structure where the leaves correspond to objects and the inner nodes to object categories \( S \) with \( k := |S| \), see Figures 1 and 2.

Let \( \pi : S \to L \) maps each category to the set of object labels it contains in all of its subtrees, e.g. \( \pi(L_6) = \{l_5, l_6, l_7, l_8\} \) in Figure 2. Let furthermore

\[
L : \mathcal{U} \to \{0, 1\}^k, \ L_i(u) = \max_{j \in \pi(i)} l_j(u)
\]

(8)

denote the indicator function for the \( k \) categories in the inner tree nodes, i.e. \( L_i(u) \) indicates if any label in any subtree of category \( L_i \) is present in the scene. These nodes are organized in arbitrarily many levels, e.g. ‘outdoor’ contains the subcategories ‘nature’ and ‘street’ (see Figure 1). Note that labels can be shared by several categories by adding them one level above all the subtrees that should share them. See for example the labels ‘sky’ and ‘grass’ in Figure 3, which can appear in ‘nature’, ‘street’ and ‘water’ scenes.

For each single category function \( L_i \) we define a specific cost \( C_{L_i} \geq 0 \) which is added to the energy if any of the objects in any subtree of the category \( L_i \) appears in the segmentation. Hence, if the label ‘bicycle’ appears the costs for the categories ‘street’ and ‘outdoor’ are invoked.

Then we can define the hierarchical energy as

\[
E_H(u) := \sum_{i \in S} C_{L_i} L_i(u)
\]

(9)

with each \( L_i \) given by (8). Thus, for each label occurring in the segmentation the energy is increased by the costs \( C_{L_i} \) for all categories \( L_i \) the label belongs to. In this way, we can introduce statistical information on the likelihood of different scenes. Here, conditional likelihoods instead of absolute ones are of interest, i.e. the probability of a scene given its direct parent in the tree. For the optimization, we use (8) and (7) to write \( L_i = \max_{j \in \pi(i), x \in \Omega} u_j(x) \) in terms of
Scene Uniqueness Constraints The hierarchical prior introduces costs depending on the likelihood of each scene. In this way, it discourages labels from different scenes but nevertheless allows for mixed solutions. For scene classification, however, one would expect a hard decision for a single scene label. In order to obtain a unique scene label and to improve the segmentation at the same time, we propose to introduce a scene uniqueness prior. This prior imposes the constraint that all labels occurring in the final segmentation belong to the same category, i.e. they share the same path from the lowest category level to the root node of the tree. Let $P : S \rightarrow S$ map all categories to their direct category child nodes, i.e. ‘outdoor’ is mapped to ‘street’ and ‘nature’, and the lowest categories such as ‘water’ are mapped to the empty set. Then we impose the following constraints

$$\sum_{j \in P(i)} \ell_j(u) = \ell_i(u) \quad \forall i \in S.$$  \hfill (10)

This constraint set ensures that the sum of all category functions at each tree level equals the parent category function. By setting the root node indicator function $L_r(u) = 1$ we enforce a unique scene classification result. If a subcategory function is zero then no label from its subtree can occur in the segmentation result. If two labels in different subtrees are active then the scene uniqueness constraints force one of them to zero. These constraints are linear and can be easily implemented by means of Lagrange multipliers. They can be applied in addition to the hierarchical prior or alone. The energy $E_H$ then reads as:

$$E_H(u) := \sum_{i \in S} C_{i}\ell_i(u) \quad \text{ s.t. } \sum_{j \in P(i)} \ell_j(u) = \ell_i(u),$$

$$\ell_i(u) = \max_{j \in \pi(i)} \ell_j(u), \quad \ell_i(u) = \max_{x \in \Omega} u_i(x), \quad \forall i \in L.$$  \hfill (12)

In addition to $u$, the overall energy (3) is then also optimized over the indicator functions $l_i$ and $L_r$ as new variables. Since the max-constraints (12) are not convex, we replace them by the relaxations

$$l_j(u) \leq L_i(u) \quad \forall i \in S, j \in \pi(i),$$

$$u_i(x) \leq l_i(u) \quad \forall i \in L, x \in \Omega.$$  \hfill (13) \hfill (14)

They can be implemented with Lagrange multipliers, e.g. by adding the terms $\sup_{a_i(x) \geq 0} \int_{\Omega} a_i(x)(u_i(x) - l_i(u)) \, dx$ to the energy (3) and optimizing also over $a$.}

4. Implementation

In order for the domain of optimization to be a convex set, we relax the binary constraint $u_i(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ to the convex one $u_i(x) \in [0, 1]$. To minimize the overall energy (3) we use the primal-dual algorithm [4], which is essentially a gradient descent in the primal variables and a gradient ascent in the dual variables with a subsequent application of the proximity operators. For the time steps we used the recent preconditioning [14].

5. Experiments

We will now show results for the joint task of segmentation, object recognition and scene classification. To this end, we have selected a set of 15 semantic labels and scene types (out of 21), which could naturally be grouped in a tree hierarchy, see Figure 3. Hence, we define the following label set

$L := \{\text{Grass, Car, Bird, Building, Sky, Water, Cow, Sheep, Boat, Chair, Tree, Sign, Road, Book, Sky}\}$

together with the object categories

$S := \{\text{Indoor, Outdoor, Nature, Street}\}.$

For testing we use the subset of 68 images from the MSRC benchmark, which contains only labels within our hierarchy. We minimize the energy in (3) using the appearance term in [7] as data term $E_D$, the standard Potts model as smoothness term $E_S(u)$ and the hierarchical energy $E_H$ together with scene uniqueness constraints as formulated in (11). Qualitative results comparing the proposed approach to the results based only on the Potts model (i.e. $E_H = 0$) and to the co-occurrence priors by Ladicky et al. [7] are shown in Figure 5. Several of these images show strong improvements compared to the Potts and the co-occurrence prior, e.g. the ‘book’ label disappears from the sign image,
the reflection of the tree is correctly classified as ‘water’ and the ‘sheep’ is no longer confused with the label ‘road’ due to color similarities. Figure 4 shows the average accuracy on the mini-benchmark. The comparison to the co-occurrence prior shows that the differences are only marginal on average. Yet there is no scene classification involved in the co-occurrence prior, and as argued in the introduction learning of the prior is much more involved and prone to specialization on the specific database. In contrast, the hierarchy structure was modeled by hand based on human reasoning.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we proposed a joint approach for segmentation, object recognition and scene understanding, which is formulated within a single multi-label variational optimization approach. In contrast to previous approaches we do not rely on the computation of superpixels or object detector outputs or build several stages in the optimization process. We gave a convex relaxation of the approach yielding unique solutions to the scene classification task independent of the initialization of the algorithm. The results on the MSRC benchmark show that for several images we were able to strongly improve the labeling task achieving classification results slightly above the highly specialized co-occurrence prior by Ladicky et al. [7].
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Scenes</th>
<th>Water Scenes</th>
<th>Nature Scenes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Street Scene" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Water Scene" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Nature Scene" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. MSRC benchmark results for joint segmentation, recognition and scene classification using the hierarchy in Figure 3.